

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/648,388	08/27/2003	Hideo Todokoro	1743/224	5325		
7590 01/13/2004		EXAMINER				
KENYON & KENYON Suite 700			VANORE, DAVID A			
1500 K Street, N	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Washington, DC 20005			2881			
			D	DATE MAN ED ON MANOR		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A 15			an				
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/648,38		TODOKORO ET A	AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		David A V		2881					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by slatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
			□ objected to by the F	- - - - -					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			• •	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09447060. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment	(s)				-				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>08</u>	<u>304</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-					

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 27, 2003 contains an error. US Patent No. 4,122,095 is not an invention by OTAKA et al. as listed on the IDS. Therefore, the '095 reference has not been considered. The Okamoto et al. reference is properly listed and has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1 and 3 recite that the secondary electron generation efficiency of a sample approaches zero in comparison with conditions used when causing the sample to become charged.

The specification of the present application approaches discussing this limitation at page 10 where an secondary electron generation ratio of 1 or less is stated.

However, the limitation requires that secondary electron efficiency which approaches zero in comparison to conditions used when causing said sample to be charged are required in the disclosure for the limitation to be enabled. There is no discussion of

Application/Control Number: 10/648,388

Art Unit: 2881

Page 3

what these conditions may be in the specification or what kind of comparison is taking place between sample charging conditions and secondary electron generation efficiency.

Claim 2 depends on claim 1 and therefore lacks enablement as well.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Vanore whose telephone number is 703-306-0246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on 703-308-4116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

dav

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TEANWRITHMY CENTER 5800